

Day 2 **1615 hours**
SESSION 5 **SIX BELLS COLLIERY SITE** **(Sites H1.14 and**
ED1.2) and PARC ARRUEL GRIFFIN (Site AS(N) 23))

Six Bells Colliery Site

1. What is the logic for the proposed mix of uses and its site boundaries? Why does the Council seek to extend its boundaries (refer Focussed Change 16)?
2. Is this an appropriate site for housing given its locational attributes, highway connections and potential environmental impacts? Is this an appropriate site for a primary school?
3. Would development on this site represent an unacceptable loss of open space?
4. Should part of the site be considered for other purposes, including for leisure and tourism? If so, why?
5. Is this site or parts of the site highly vulnerable to flooding? If so, what would be the implications of seeking to site the proposed mix of uses here?

Attendees: Six Bells Community First (82)

Attendee for question 5 only: Emt Agency (18)

Optional: A Goodenough (52), Mrs L M Evans (56), Mr A Thomas (38),

Parc Arrael Griffin (AS (N) 23)

1. The Council has resolved not to allocate this site for tourism and leisure. It states that tourism development has been carried out at the site as demonstrated by the establishment of the Guardian on the middle plateau. Why is it therefore necessary for reasons of soundness that the site be allocated for tourism and leisure purposes?

Attending: Mr S Jones (69), Six Bells Community First (82), Mr A Thomas (83)

Close 1800 hours